

NIB ANNUAL REPORT 2009

Accident Investigation Board

FINLAND



PREFACE TO THE REPORT

This is the annual report of railway sector of the Accident Investigation Board of Finland for calendar year 2009. This is mainly the part of the Annual Report 2009 of AIBF, which relates to railway sector. There are some parts which have added that the report would measure up to standards of ERA. Also the data concerning implementation of recommendations has been updated.

Terms used in this report:

Investigation categories					
A-investigation	Major accident				
B-investigation	Accident or serious incident				
C-investigation	Incident, damage or minor accident				
D-investigation	Other incident				
S-investigation	Safety study				

Investigation identifier:

Each investigation is designated by an identifier that consists of four parts, such as A1/1998R.

The first part refers to the investigation category (A, B, C, D or S).

The second part is a sequence number referring to the order of the accident within its accident category in the year in question.

The third part refers to the year of the accident.

The fourth part indicates the accident category (L, R, M or Y).

E.g. A1/1998R refers to the first major railway accident investigation in 1998.



CONTENTS

PF	REFA	ACE TO THE REPORT	. I
1	INT	RODUCTION TO THE INVESTIGATION BODY	1
	1.1	Legal Basis	1
	1.2	Role and Mission	1
	1.3	Organisation	2
	1.4	Organisational flow	3
2	INV	ESTIGATION PROCESSES	4
	2.1	Cases to be investigated	4
	2.2	Institutions involved in investigations	4
	2.3	Investigation process or approach of the IB	5
3	INV	ESTIGATIONS	6
	3.1	Overview of investigations completed, identifying key trends	6
	3.2	Investigations completed and commenced in 2009	6
	3.3	Safety Studies commissioned and completed in 2009	7
	3.4	Summaries of investigations completed in 2009	8
	3.5	Comment and introduction or background to the investigations 1	6
	3.6	Accidents and incidents investigated during last five years (in 2005–2009) 1	17
4	REC	COMMENDATIONS 1	8
	4.1	Short review and presentation of recommendations 1	8

ANNEXES

Annex 1. Recommendations



1 INTRODUCTION TO THE INVESTIGATION BODY

1.1 Legal Basis

The Accident Investigation Board of Finland was founded in 1996 within the Ministry of Justice. The tasks of the Accident Investigation Board are specified in the relevant act and decree which also include overall directions on the characteristics of the accidents to be investigated and the methods of investigation to be implemented.

The investigation of aviation accidents is based on the relevant European Council Directive (94/56/EY) and the Convention on International Civil Aviation (Treaty Series of the Statute Book 11/49). The European Parliament and Council regulation on the investigation of aviation accidents is currently being drafted. The investigation of rail accidents is based on the EU Railway Safety Directive (2004/49/EY). The investigation of maritime accidents complies with International Maritime Organization Casualty Investigation Code (MSC255(84)), which is part of the SOLAS Convention. European Parliament and Council Directive (2009/18/EC) also applies to the investigation of maritime accidents. This directive is currently in the process of adoption.

In Finland the investigation of rail accidents is based on the EU Railway Safety Directive. The New Rail Act came into force the 1 September 2006. The New Finnish Rail Agency started work the same day. The current accident investigation act is close to the Safety Directive. In January 2009 a working group was appointed to amend legislation applying to accident investigation. The working group submitted its report to the Ministry of Justice in February of 2010.

1.2 Role and Mission

The tasks of the Accident Investigation Board are specified in the relevant act and decree which also include overall directions on the characteristics of the accidents to be investigated and the methods of investigation to be implemented.

By its investigation activities, the Accident Investigation Board intends to enhance overall safety and prevent accidents. As a result of an accident investigation, an investigation report is produced that contains safety recommendations for the competent authorities and other parties concerned. In fact the safety recommendations translate the investigators' views on the means of prevention of similar or corresponding accidents in the future. The Accident Investigation Board moreover monitors the implementation of the recommendations issued. The investigation work conducted by the Board exclusively focuses on an improvement of safety with no stances taken as for questions of culpability, responsibility or liability for damages.

It is the mission of the Investigation Board to investigate all serious accidents, serious incidents and aviation, rail, and marine accidents and incidents.



Accident investigation focuses on the course of events of the accident, its causes and consequences as well as on the relevant rescue measures. Particular attention is paid to whether the safety requirements have been adequately fulfilled in the planning, design, manufacture, construction and use of the equipment and structures involved in the accident. It is also investigated whether the supervision and inspection has been carried out in an appropriate manner. Any eventually detected shortcomings in safety rules and regulations may call for investigation, as well. In addition to the direct causes of an accident, the accident investigation intends to reveal any contributory factors and background circumstances that may be found in the organization, the directions, the code of practice or the work methods.

In the decision-making on the commencement of an accident investigation, the degree of seriousness of the incident is considered as well as its probability of recurrence. An incident or accident or hazardous situation, with only minor consequences may also require investigation in case it sets several persons at risk and an investigation is assessed as producing important information in view of the improvement of the general safety and the prevention of further accidents. Generally speaking, the Accident Investigation Board does not investigate an incident or accident caused intentionally or by an offence.

The Accident Investigation Board is also responsible for, e.g. the maintenance of a contingency to rapidly commence an investigation, the training of new accident investigators, the producing of general instructions on the carrying out of the investigation work and on the drawing up of the investigation reports, and the participation in international cooperation in the field.

Finally the Accident Investigation Board is responsible for the printing and distribution of the investigation reports and their publishing on its web pages, www.onnettomuustutkinta.fi.

1.3 Organisation

Personnel:

Director Administrative director Assistant Assistant

Aviation accidents Chief Air Accident Investigator Air Accident Investigator

Rail accidents Chief Rail Accident Investigator Rail Accident Investigator Tuomo Karppinen Pirjo Valkama-Joutsen Sini Järvi Leena Leskelä

Hannu Melaranta Tii-Maria Siitonen

Esko Värttiö Reijo Mynttinen (On leave) Acting Erkki Hainari (→28.2.2010)





1.4 Organisational flow





2 INVESTIGATION PROCESSES

2.1 Cases to be investigated

A rail accident investigation is conducted in following cases:

- Accident in train traffic
- Hazardous situation in train traffic
- Accident in shunting work in railways, if a person is deceased or seriously injured
- Accident in shunting work in railways, if it is related to transportation of dangerous goods
- Underground or tram accident, if several persons have been deceased or seriously injured or there is other special safety related reason for the investigation

In accordance with the Act on Accident Investigation (373/1985) the Accident Investigation Board of Finland investigates level crossing accidents in which a train has derailed or a passenger or a train crew member is deceased or injured seriously. In accordance with the EU Railway Safety Directive, the Accident Investigation Board has also investigated all fatal level crossing accidents involving road vehicles since the start of 2007. In accordance with the relevant legislation on the matter (24/2001), the traffic accident investigation teams of the Traffic Safety Committee of Insurance Companies (VALT) of the Finnish Motor Insurers' Centre investigate all fatal road and terrain accidents in Finland, which means also fatal level crossing accidents.

2.2 Institutions involved in investigations

The Accident Investigation Board of Finland investigates all rail accidents. Those investigations are independent and they are public. According to the Railway Act the Finnish Railway Agency can investigate those AIBF does not investigate. Those investigation reports are not public.



2.3 Investigation process or approach of the IB

Chart of the investigation process





3 INVESTIGATIONS

3.1 Overview of investigations completed, identifying key trends

Type of acci- Number		Number	of victims	Damages in	Trends in rela-
dents inves- tigated	of acci- dents	Deaths	Seriously Injured	€(approxi- mation)	tion to previ- ous years
Collisions	1	0	0	214 000	
Derailments	1	0	0	2 000	
Level cross-	5	6	0	115 000	
ing accidents					
Other	2	0	2	60 000	

3.2 Investigations completed and commenced in 2009

Investigations completed in 2009

Date of oc- currence	Title of the investigation (Occurrence type, location)	Legal basis	Comleted (date)
25.2.2008	Fatal level crossing accident in Laukaa	i	26.1.2009
13.6.2008	Collision of trams on Mäkelänkatu in Hel- sinki	ii	4.11.2009
25.6.2008	Fatal accident at the Huikuri level crossing in Liperi, Viinijärvi	i	7.9.2009
7.7.2008	Fatal level crossing accident on the Vehkatie level crossing in Kiuruvesi	i	26.6.2009
26.8.2008	Fatal level crossing accident in Suonen- joki	i	26.6.2009
25.9.2008	Fatal level crossing accident in lisalmi	i	15.6.2009
3.11.2007	Derailment of one wheelset of a locomo- tive during shunting work in Vainikkala	iii	13.1.2009
8.6.2008	Collision of a locomotive and a turnout tamping machine at the Jyväskylä railway yard	iii	6.8.2009

Basis for investigation: i = According to the Railway Safety Directive, ii = On national legal basis (covering possible areas excluded in Article 2 2§ of the Safety Directive), iii = Voluntary - other criteria (National rules/regulations not referred to the Safety Directive).

Investigations commenced in 2009

Date of oc- currence	Title of the investigation (Occurrence type, location)	Legal basis
11.2.2009	Fatal level crossing accident, in Pori at the unprotected Teurastamo level crossing	i
25.3.2009	Fatal level crossing accident in Nurmijärvi	i
25.4.2009	Fatal level crossing accident at Mustio in Raasepori	i
24.5.2009	Fatal level crossing accident in Eurajoki	i
16.6.2009	Derailment of a train in Toijala	i
14.7.2009	Fatal level crossing accident in Vihti	
17.7.2009	Fatal level crossing accident in Loviisa	i
3.12.2009	Fatal level crossing accident in Seinäjoki	i



16.12.2009	Fatal level crossing accident in Laukaa	i
30.12.2008	Failure in automatic train protection in Korvensuo	iii
9.3.2009	Derailment of six wagons of a freight train at the Lahti railway yard	iii
17.9.2009	Derailment of five freight train wagons in Kilpua	iii
1.10.2009	Ending up on the wrong track of a passenger train in Koria	iii

Basis for investigation: **i** = According to the Safety Directive, **ii** = On national legal basis (covering possible areas excluded in Article 2, §2 of the Safety Directive), **iii** = Voluntary – other criteria (National rules/regulations not referred to the Safety Directive).

3.3 Safety Studies commissioned and completed in 2009

Safety Studies completed in 2009

Date of commission	Title of the Study (Occurrence type, location)	Legal basis	Comleted (date)
23.5.2008	Safety study on traffic control deviations in Kouvola	iii	31.8.2009

Basis for investigation: **i** = According to the Safety Directive, **ii** = On national legal basis (covering possible areas excluded in Article 2, §2 of the Safety Directive), **iii** = Voluntary – other criteria (National rules/regulations not referred to the Safety Directive).

Safety Studies commenced in 2009

Date of commission	Title of the Study (Occurrence type, location)	Legal basis
	-	

Basis for investigation: **i** = According to the Safety Directive, **ii** = On national legal basis (covering possible areas excluded in Article 2, §2 of the Safety Directive), **iii** = Voluntary – other criteria (National rules/regulations not referred to the Safety Directive).



3.4 Summaries of investigations completed in 2009



B1/2008R

Fatal level crossing accident in Laukaa on 25 February 2008

Picture: Police

On 25 February 2008 at 9.53 a.m., a fatal level crossing accident occurred on Laukaa's Kauramaa unprotected level crossing. A tractor returning along an agricultural road from ploughing work drove without stopping in front of a freight train en route from Jyväskylä to Suolahti. The only person in the tractor was the driver, who died from his injuries in hospital later that day. The damage to the tractor and rolling stock totalled around \in 30,000.

The accident occurred because the driver of the tractor did not observe the approaching train and drove onto the level crossing without stopping. Furthermore, the crossing did not meet level crossing safety requirements on the part of the wait platform and with respect to sightline. Too short a wait platform, in particular, may have caused the driver to focus more than usual on controlling the tractor, to which extra equipment was hitched, as it approached and arrived at the crossing. The driver's visibility may also have been impaired due to the sun shining against him. The agricultural road was intended only for agricultural use and not for through-traffic.

In order to prevent similar accidents, the accident investigation commission recommends the removal of the Kauramaa level crossing. Two safer routes in the vicinity of the track offer access to the agricultural fields. In addition, the accident investigation commission pays attention to the notion of the Ministry of the Interior Rescue Department that mobile phones should be used in the localisation of accidents, or, alternatively, that the feasibility of supplying all trains with GPS positioning equipment should be reviewed, which would ensure fast and accurate relay of location information to the emergency response centre. As other observations, the investigation commission suggests that tractors used in road traffic should be equipped not only with safety cabins but also seat belts.





B2/2008R

Collision of trams on Mäkelänkatu in Helsinki on 13 June 2008

Picture: Police

On Friday, 13 June 2008, at 1:50pm, a line-1 tram collided with the rear of a line-7B tram on the Mäkelänrinne stop, on Mäkelänkatu, in Helsinki. Two passengers were severely injured. A tram driver and 22 passengers were slightly injured. Several others received lesser injuries such as bruises and neck and shoulder pain and headaches caused by whip flash. The rails were not damaged and the trams remained on the rails. The rear of the line-7B tram was substantially damaged. For example, the chassis of the rearmost car was bent out of shape. The front of the line-1 tram was somewhat damaged, but after minor repairs it was temporarily operative. The damage to the trams resulted in a total cost of EUR 60,000.

The cause of the accident was that the driver of the tram approaching from behind was not able to stop the tram in time. The driver apparently tried to stop the tram via incorrect braking methods in the belief that the brakes were not working properly. The background factors were the driver's inexperience, the possibility that the driver anticipated that the tram ahead would leave the stop earlier, and the driver's suspicion that the brakes were not working properly and therefore the use of the incorrect braking method.

In order to prevent the occurrence of similar accidents, the investigation commission recommends that tram drivers be taught to brake in the proper way. In order to specify just one correct way to brake in different kinds of situations, Helsinki City Transport should determine the most efficient way to brake for each type of situation. In addition, tram drivers should be provided with a personalised and progressive training programme in which performance is documented and thus skills are proved to be properly learned.

In order to ensure that floor hatches do not release during collision or other situations and thereby cause injury to passengers, the investigation commission recommends that methods be sought to ensure that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all conditions. In order to improve first-aid availability on trams, the commission recommends that trams be equipped with a first-aid kit.





B3/2008R

Fatal accident at the Huikuri level crossing in Liperi, Viinijärvi on 25 June 2008

On Wednesday, 25 June 2008, at 4:22.50 pm, a level crossing accident involving a scooter and a rail bus en route from Joensuu to Pieksämäki occurred at the Huikuri level crossing. The accident was fatal to the driver of the scooter. The personnel and passengers of the rail bus remained uninjured. The scooter was wrecked beyond repair. The rail bus incurred damage to its left front corner and the obstruction clearing device. The repair costs of the rail bus amounted to EUR 1,400.

The direct cause of the accident was that the driver of the scooter drove onto the level crossing without stopping. The driver of the scooter probably did not notice the rail bus at all or saw it too late. Contributing to this were the following factors:

- the level crossing was very close to a highway with substantial traffic
- the driver of the scooter was focusing on maintaining balance as the road surface changed from tarmac to gravel
- the level crossing was not equipped with an active warning installation
- the rail bus was approaching the crossing at 120 km/h
- rail buses are silent and quite neutral coloured, which makes them difficult to see.

In order to prevent similar accidents, the investigation commission recommends that the Huikuri unprotected level crossing be removed. Furthermore, in order to improve railway safety, the investigation commission reiterates recommendation S230 of investigation report B2/2007R: *The structure of the obstruction cleaning device of Dm12 rail bus should be such that it is either formed of one piece or possible additional parts are attached sufficiently well.*





B4/2008R

Fatal level crossing accident on the Vehkatie level crossing in Kiuruvesi on 7 July 2008

Picture: Police

At 22.41.40 on Monday 7 July 2008, a van and a train consisting of two locomotives en route from Ylivieska to Iisalmi collided on the Vehkatie unprotected level crossing in Kiuruvesi, resulting in the death of the van driver. The van was wrecked beyond repair and the locomotive's front and bogie structures were slightly damaged. The cost of the accident on the railway side was in excess of \in 50,000.

The direct cause of the accident was that the van driver drove onto the level crossing without stopping. It is probable that the driver noticed the approaching train too late and was unable to avoid the collision. The evening sun was shining low on the horizon from the direction of the approaching train and this may have made observation difficult. It is possible that the driver's attention was focused on a newly arrived text message or that the driver was looking for the mobile phone. It is also possible that visibility in the direction of the train was blocked by the vehicle's chassis structures, because the vehicle approached the level crossing at an angle to the track.

In order to prevent the occurrence of similar accidents, the investigation commission recommends the removal of the Vehkatie level crossing. Before its removal, the level crossing should be closed by Kiuruvesi town through temporary arrangements. Also, a track speed limit of 70 km/h should be set to run through the crossing until its removal. Furthermore, "No thoroughfare!" signs should be placed without delay on Vehkatie road and the Ratakatu-Kirkkoharjuntie crossing, and heavy vehicles should be prohibited from driving through the level crossing.

In order to ensure the accuracy of level crossing location information, the investigation commission recommends that emergency response centres update the level crossing location data of their ELS systems to match the tasoristeys.fi database, as set out in the relevant regulations.





B5/2008R

Fatal level-crossing accident in Suonenjoki on 26 August 2008

At 10.43 a.m. on Tuesday 26 August 2008, a railway work unit en route from Pieksämäki to Suonenjoki collided with a car at an unprotected level crossing in Suonenjoki.

The accident was fatal to the driver of the car. The car was damaged beyond repair and the railway work unit, which was a service railcar, incurred minor damage.

The direct cause of the accident was that the car driver drove onto the level crossing without stopping. In all probability, the driver completely failed to observe the railway work unit approaching from the left. The lack of a proper wait platform, a sharply rising road and limited visibility made it difficult to observe the surroundings and drive the car at the same time.

To prevent the occurrence of similar accidents, the investigation commission recommends that the sightlines of the level crossing be improved and the wait platforms reconditioned to meet regulations, and that the level crossing be equipped with a warning sign. If it becomes apparent that sightlines cannot be improved and that the wait platforms cannot be reconditioned, then the level crossing should be removed or equipped with a half-barrier.

In addition, the investigation commission recommends that the Finnish Rail Administration inform the parties in charge of road maintenance of their obligation to build and maintain road segments leading to level crossings as set out in the relevant regulations. The Finnish Rail Administration should also provide appropriate information on any track changes made and shortcomings discovered during inspection rounds. It is also suggested that the Finnish Rail Administration and the Ministry of Transport and Communications initiate a nationwide campaign about the obligations of road maintainers with regard to level crossings.

Furthermore, the investigation commission reiterates recommendation S211 of investigation report B1/2005R: The instructions for the drawing up of an emergency notice should be developed to ensure that whenever urgent aid is needed from the rescue service, also the general emergency number is called from the incident scene, in addition to the notifying of the traffic control unit.



The investigation commission also wishes to note that the level crossing safety study of 2007 and the related DVD on level crossing safety should still be actively used by driving schools and the other relevant parties. Drivers should also be provided with other forms of Information and education on level crossing safety, especially drivers who regularly cross level crossings. For example, the campaign "Be on guard at level crossings – especially the ones you know well" should be continued. Basic and advanced driving school instruction should pay greater attention to appropriate behaviour at level crossings.





Fatal level crossing accident in lisalmi on 25 September 2008

On 25 September 2008 at 4.18 p.m., a level crossing accident leading to two fatalities occurred at the half barrier equipped level crossing of Suurisuo in lisalmi. The accident occurred when a private car driving slowly westward along Parkatintie road collided with a passenger train en route from Kajaani to Helsinki. The two persons in the car died instantly. The car was wrecked beyond repair. The costs arising from the accident to railway rolling stock and equipment amounted to EUR 30,000.

The direct cause of the accident was that the car driver drove onto the level crossing without stopping. The driver applied the brakes only after the car had driven beneath the lowering barrier and was hit by it, with the result that the car stopped on the track. It is likely that the driver did not notice the level crossing warning signs or the lowering barriers. Potentially contributory factors possibly included the sun shining in the driver's face, a worn windshield, the driver's impaired eyesight, hearing and alertness.

In order to prevent similar accidents, the investigation commission recommends that the red blinking filament lamps of the barriers and warning signs of the Suurisuo level crossing be replaced by blinking or flashing LED lights. Identical replacements should be made at similar level crossings where it has been noted that the sun dazzles the driver when approaching the crossing.





C7/2007R

Derailment of one wheelset of a locomotive during shunting work in Vainikkala on 3 November 2007

On Saturday 3 November 2007 at 12.59 p.m., one wheelset of a locomotive was derailed at a railway yard turnout in Vainikkala when a shunting unit was pushing wagons onto the track. The turnout tongue was twisted and the Railex locking device was damaged.

The incident occurred because the shunting worker had pressed the local turnout button reversing twice while the locomotive was on the turnout. The first wheelset derailed during the first change in position. The shunting worker gave the second command before the first operation was complete, with the result that the switch blades started turning back and the locomotive's remaining wheelsets were directed correctly along the track.

In order to prevent the occurrence of similar incidents, the Accident Investigation Board of Finland recommends that local turnout reversing buttons be located close to the turnouts.



C5/2008R

Collision of a locomotive and a turnout tamping machine at the Jyväskylä railway yard on 8 June 2008

On 8 June 2008 at 5.48 a.m., a turnout tamping machine was involved in an accident at the Jyväskylä railway yard, leading to the slight injury of a track foreman in the driver's cab of the tamping machine. The accident involved the collision of a unit consisting of three Dv12 locomotives



with a tamping machine involved in work. The engine driver applied the emergency brakes, but the locomotives were unable to stop in time and the front corner of the foremost locomotive collided with the left corner of the tamping machine. The force of the collision caused the right rail to collapse underneath the tamping machine.

The collision damaged the tamping machine in places including the chassis, body, automated controls, bogie and wheelsets. The foremost locomotive incurred damage on the right side of the maintenance deck and hand rails. About 20 metres of track were damaged. The total damage to track and equipment amounted to \notin 214,000.

The direct cause of the accident was that the front of the tamping machine, which was at work on turnout V032, extended so close to turnout V024 that the locomotives were unable to safely pass the tamping machine. Since turnout V032 was reserved because it was being replaced, turnout V024 was also reserved. In order to control and reverse turnout V024, the traffic controller had to use the VHP¹ command. The traffic controller was unaware of the precise location of the tamping machine. Another factor contributing to the accident lay in the fact that the tamping machine's foreman and the traffic controller had not agreed on the precise limits of the work area.

In order to prevent the occurrence of similar accidents, the Accident Investigation Board of Finland recommends that track job notifications precisely detail the dimensions of work areas and that the traffic controller ensure that no other units are within the vicinity of the turnout before giving the relevant VHP command. In addition, the recommendation S180 of investigation report B1/2002R is reiterated: "*The initiation training programmes for train operators should be extended* to include all stations and railway yards within the area of responsibility of the train operators in question."



S1/2008R

Safety study on traffic control deviations in Kouvola

On 21 August 2008, the Accident Investigation Board decided to start a safety study on traffic control safety deviations observed in Kouvola, Finland. The basis for the study was a VR Group Ltd letter sent to the Accident Investigation Board, dated 17 June 2008, in which VR Group expressed its concern about the possible route automation and safety system malfunctions observed in Kouvola Centralised Traffic Control.

¹ VHP = emergence release of point locking.



Initially, the investigation commission was tasked with investigating two safety deviations that had been observed before the initiation of the study. However a third incident occurred during the early stages of the study, and the decision was made to include it within the scope of the study.

The first deviation occurred on 25 April 2008 at Järvelä station on the Lahti–Riihimäki section of line. During shunting, a route automation memory function generated an unexpected train route setting leading to the turning of the turnouts in front of the shunting unit's intended route.

The second deviation occurred on 23 May 2008 on the Lahti–Riihimäki section of line, between the Hakosilta junction and Lahti station. A commuter train that had departed from Lahti station toward Riihimäki was issued with the number and train route of another commuter train that was awaiting its departure time at the station.

The third deviation was observed on 6 September 2008 on the Kerava–Lahti directr line on the southern side of the Hakosilta junction. Two trains were proceeding toward Lahti with only one block section between them. At the boundary between two interlocking areas on the southern side of the junction, the number of the train travelling first was replaced in the traffic control system with the number of the latter train.

The investigation revealed that the deviations involved software in all of the cases. The system manufacturers have also confirmed these observations. In connection with the investigation of these cases the investigation commission also reviewed the deviation management procedures for handling this kind of deviations and the role of different parties in the information system management. The conclusion was that the deviation management process was inadequate.

The investigation commission issued the following two recommendations:

- The organisations responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of traffic control and safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the procedures for deviation management.
- The experts using traffic control systems on a daily basis should participate in the specifications, inspections, and start-up activities of these systems and also take part in the system administration during the life-cycle of the system.

3.5 Comment and introduction or background to the investigations

Investigations commenced in 2009 and not followed

Date of occurrence	Title of the investigation (Occurrence type, location)	Legal ba- sis	Reason of non follow- ing or suspen- sion of investiga- tions	Who, why, when (de- cision)
	-			

Basis for investigation: **i** = According to the Safety Directive, **ii** = On national legal basis (covering possible areas excluded in Article 2, §2 of the Safety Directive), **iii** = Voluntary – other criteria (National rules/regulations not referred to the Safety Directive).



3.6 Accidents and incidents investigated during last five years (in 2005–2009)

	Accidents investigated	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	тот
	Train collision	0	0	0	0	0	0
Art 19	Train collision with an obsta- cle	0	0	0	1	0	1
its (Train derailment	1	0	0	0	1	2
ider	Level-crossing accident	0	0	7	5	8	20
us acci	Accident to person caused by RS in motion	0	0	1	0	0	1
riol	Fire in rolling stock	0	0	0	0	0	0
°, −	Involving dangerous goods	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Train collision	0	0	0	2	0	2
(9.	Train collision with an obsta- cle	1	1	0	1	0	3
t 21	Train derailment	7	2	5	3	2	19
(Ar	Level-crossing accident	1	1	0	0	0	2
accidents	Accident to person caused by RS in motion	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fire in rolling stock	0	0	0	0	0	0
ther	Involving dangerous goods ²	1	1	1	3	0	6
đ	Incidents	0	0	1	1	2	4
	TOTAL	10	4	14	13	13	54

Rail investigations in 2005-2009

² Belongs also to an other category and is not calculated another time to the total amount.



4 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

4.1 Short review and presentation of recommendations

Recomme	ndations	Recommendation implementation status							
issued		Implemented In progress		SS	Not to be imple- mented				
Year	[No.]	[No.]	[%]	[No.]	[%]	[No.]	[%]		
2005	6	6	100	0	0	0	0		
2006	8	2	25	2	25	4	50		
2007	25	5	20	17	68	3	12		
2008	20	4	20	14	70	2	10		
2009	17	2	12	14	82	1	6		
TOTAL	76	19	25	47	62	10	13		

Implementation of recommendations during 2005–2009

Implementation of Recommendations, see Annex 1

A total of 271 recommendations were issued from the beginning of 1997 until the end of 2009. According to information available at 19 February 2010, 164 (60.5 %) recommendations were implemented and 46 (17.0 %) were decided not to be implemented. The fulfilment of recommendations can take time, as indicated by the fact that, of the 201 recommendations issued from 1997–2005, 151 (75.1 %) had been implemented by the end of 2009 and 36 (17.9 %) were decided not to be implemented.

4.2 Recommendations 2009

S254 Location of local turnout control buttons

Turnouts for which local control is possible can be operated more safely if the local turnout control buttons are located close to the turnouts. Therefore, the Accident Investigation Board of Finland recommends the following:

Local turnout control buttons should be located as close to turnouts as possible. [C7/07R/S254]³

S255 Removal of the Kauramaa level crossing

Two alternative routes in the vicinity of the Kauramaa level crossing offer safer access to agricultural fields surrounding the track. The first is along Jyväskyläntie and Pielislehdontie, with Jyväskyläntie offering an underpass for crossing the Jyväskylä-

³ Code in the parenthesis means: C7/07R = Investigation report number C7/2007R, S254 = Recommendation number 254.



Haapajärvi track. The second route is along Jyvaskyläntie and Eerolantie through the Eerola level crossing, which is equipped with a half-barrier.

The Kauramaa level crossing should be removed. [B1/08R/S255]

If the level crossing will not be removed, then the crossing should be restricted to light traffic only and measures should be taken to prevent heavy traffic from crossing it.

S256 Improving the visibility of barriers

The visibility of red blinking warning signs should be improved at protected level crossings where bright sunlight from ahead inhibits the visibility of the level crossing warning signs. This has been tested along the Turku-Toijala track by replacing filament lamps with LED lamps, and the results indicate that drivers find the visibility of LED lights good. Therefore, the accident investigation commission recommends the following:

At the Suurisuo level crossing and similar level crossings, where it has been noted that sunlight hinders visibility, the visibility of barriers and warning signs should be improved by replacing red blinking filament lamps with blinking or flashing LED lights. [B6/08R/S256]

S257 Reconditioning of the level crossing to make it safe for road traffic

The road rises too sharply before the level crossing and there is no proper wait platform. In addition, visibility is poor and does not meet the regulations in force. The crossing was also not equipped with the *unprotected railway level crossing* warning sign. A report in the level crossing database notes that the level crossing is dangerous. Although the Konttila level crossing is on a private road with little traffic, the track speed limit is 140 km/h and limited visibility makes even a diligent crossing dangerous.

The sightlines of the level crossing should be improved and the wait platforms should be reconditioned to meet regulations and warning sign 171 should be installed. [B5/08R/S257]

If it becomes apparent that sightlines cannot be improved and that the wait platforms cannot be reconditioned, then the level crossing should be removed or equipped with a half-barrier.

S258 Informing the parties in charge of road maintenance

In their statement, the owners of the rights to the road indicated that they were not aware that they were responsible for the road's maintenance in the vicinity of the level crossing.



The Finnish Rail Administration should inform parties in charge of road maintenance about their obligation to build and maintain road segments leading to level crossings as set out in the relevant regulations. The Finnish Rail Administration should also appropriately inform of any track changes to be made and any shortcomings discovered during inspection rounds. [B5/08R/S258]

In particular, the Finnish Rail Administration should provide information on track embankment changes if these have an impact on wait platforms. If it is not possible to recondition wait platforms in such cases, the Finnish Rail Administration should negotiate with the parties in charge of road maintenance on the removal of the level crossing or other safety measures.

The Finnish Rail Administration and the Ministry of Transport and Communications could also communicate on nationwide level about the obligations of road maintainers with regard to level crossings.

S259 Removal of the Vehkatie level crossing

Because the level crossing is dangerous, the investigation commission recommends that:

The Vehkatie level crossing be removed. [B4/08R/S259]

Before the removal of the level crossing, Kiuruvesi town should close it through temporary arrangements.

Until the level crossing is removed, the 70 km/h speed limit already running for 400 metres at the other end of the railway yard should be continued for another 800 metres past the Vehkatie level crossing. "No thoroughfare!" signs should be placed without delay at the Ratakatu-Kirkkoharjuntie crossing and heavy vehicles prohibited from driving through the level crossing.

If it is desired that Vehkatie remains a significant entry route from Pielavedentie to the centre of Kiuruvesi, the investigation commission supports the solution proposed in a 1998 study, namely that Vehkatie be re-routed eastward as a continuation of Ratakatu, alongside the replacement of the level crossing with a railway bridge.

Because level crossings at the ends of railway yards are dangerous if unprotected, and because equipping them with a half-barrier installation is difficult, the removal of all level crossings at the ends of railway yards should be considered. In addition, track technical instructions should be updated to include the statement that the building of new level crossings in railway yards or their ends is prohibited.



S260 Regular updates of location data in the ELS information systems of emergency response centres

The emergency response centre's ELS system did not produce any data when the search term "Vehkatie" was entered because the old name of the crossing had been saved in the system. When a search was made by track kilometre, the ELS system gave the name "Tiilitehdas" even though the crossing is named "Vehkatie" in the tasoristeys.fi database. In order to ensure that these names are uniform, the investigation commission recommends that:

Emergency response centres regularly update the level crossing location data of their ELS systems to match the tasoristeys.fi database. [B4/08R/260]

S261 Specifying the limits of the work area in rail work notifications

The practice of vaguely prepared rail work notifications contributed to the accident. During the investigation, a review of recorded conversations indicated that the rail work notification only vaguely defined the limits of the work area in question for both the foreman of the tamping machine and the traffic controller. Therefore, the Accident Investigation Board recommends the following:

Rail work notifications should precisely define the outermost limits of work areas. [C5/08R/S261]

S262 Creating routes with the VHP command in areas reserved for railway work

Just before the unit consisting locomotives and tamping machine collided, the traffic controller prepared a route for the locomotives through a turnout adjacent to the work area reserved for the tamping machine, using the VHP command. In order to ensure safety, the Accident Investigation Board recommends the following:

Before executing the VHP command, the traffic controller should ensure that there are no other units at or within the vinicity of the turnout for which the command is given. [C5/08R/S262]

The collision would have been avoided if the location of the tamping machine had been verified before the command was issued.

S263 Removal of the level crossing

There are several level crossings in the vicinity of the Huikuri unprotected level crossing through which traffic can be directed.

The Huikuri unprotected level crossing should be removed. [B3/08R/S263]

The number of level crossings in the area could be reduced, and the remaining ones could be equipped with active warning installations.



S264 Making it clear how brakes should be used

The investigation revealed that tram drivers use different braking methods when the electric brakes seem to be inadequate. In order to ensure that drivers know how to brake correctly, especially in emergencies, the investigation commission makes the following recommendation:

Tram drivers should be taught to brake in the proper way. [B2/08R/S264]

Helsinki City Transport should determine the most efficient way to brake in different kinds of situations and should specify just one correct way to brake for each kind of situation.

S265 Training programme development

Tram driver training includes learning materials from several different teachers and is not organised well enough. The learning materials also overlap in part.

Tram drivers should be provided with a personalised and logically progressing training programme. [B2/08R/S265]

The training programme should be based on a detailed analysis of the job and its segmentation into constituent parts.

S266 Monitoring of learning progress

The driving skills of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning period, but this is not documented in writing.

The training programme for driving performance should be documented. [B2/08R/S266]

Learning progress should be monitored by means of training diaries and checklists, for example (cf. procedures at professional driving schools).

S267 Fastening of floor hatches

The floor hatch that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. The floor hatches of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the hatches do not come off in collisions and similar accidents, the investigation commission makes the following recommendation:

It should be ensured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all conditions. [B2/08R/S267]



S268 First-aid kits for trams

Six tram passengers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop the bleeding. Tram drivers have first-aid skills, and some of the passengers had a health-care education, but neither tram was equipped with a first-aid kit.

All trams should be equipped with a first-aid kit. [B2/08R/S268]

S269 Deviation management

In the deviation management system currently in use information about a deviation does not always reach all the relevant parties. It is possible that even documented deviations may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been undocumented. The informing of procedures related to the deviation management has been inadequate.

The organisations responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of traffic control and safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the procedures by which deviations are identified and managed. [S1/08R/S269]

S270 System management

Several parties from the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in the traffic control system procurement and management. The organising of work packages and the assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the life cycles of the systems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires continuous introduction of new automation and information technologies. The deviations investigated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as well as difficulties for the users to identify problematic situations on the display screens. The responsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and that the corrective actions are monitored should be placed near the end users.

The experts using traffic control systems on a daily basis should participate in the specifications, inspections, and start-up activities of these systems and also take part in the system administration during the life-cycle of the system. [S1/08R/S270]

RECOMMENDATIONS

Date and time (Co	de):	30.3.2005, 4.07 (B1/2005R)			
Location:		Between Saakosk	i and Jämsänkoski			
Type of occurrenc	e:	Derailment of car				
Train type and nur	nber:	Passenger train 8	02. locomotive Sr1 + 7	car		
Road vehicle:						
			In the train	In the road vehicle		
Persons on board	:	Crew:	3			
		Passengers:	≈50			
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0			
-		Passengers:	0			
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0			
		Passengers:	0			
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0			
		Passengers:	0			
Damages of rolling	g stock:	Derailed wagon an	d its bogie damaged.			
Damages on track	equipment:	About 1 200 meters	s of track were damaged			
Other damages:		None				
Summary: At Jämsä on the Jyväskylä - Tampere section of line between the Saakoski and Jäm-				Saakoski and Jäm-		
sänkoski stations, on Wednesday March 30, 2005 early in the morning an incident occured where a						
bogie of a car of the	e 802 passenge	er train derailed at a	rail breakage. The train	was carrying about 50		
passengers. Neither	r the passenge	ers nor the train crev	v were injured in the incid	dent. The total cost of		
the accident was 12	27 600 euros.					
Final report issued	<i>d:</i> 15.1.2007	7				
Recommendation						
Nr. S211	The instruct	ions for the draw	ing up of an emerge	ency notice should be		
	developed to	ensure that whe	never urgent aid is ne	eded from the rescue		
	service, also	the general eme	rgency number is ca	lled from the incident		
	scene, in add	lition to the notity	ng of the traffic control	unit.		
Date	Status	Comments		• - • •		
20.1.2009	In progress		ninistration supports, VR	Ltd is oppose.		
26.6.2009	l <u>. </u>	Reiteration in	report B5/2008R			
19.2.2010	In progress	The ERC AC	The ERC Administration supports, VR Ltd will consider to			
	ļ	change direct	ions when the new GSIVI	-R system is in use.		
	ļ					
Recommendation	<u> </u>					
Nr. 5212	The complia	nce of the localiza	ation data used by the	railway with the data		
	system of the Emergency Response Centre Agencies shall be ensured,			shall be ensured, e.g.		
	by installing	the track-kilometr	e data in the data sys	tem of the Emergency		
Dete	Response Ce	entre Agencies.				
	Status	Comments	-			
20.1.2009	In progress	Under proces	S.			
19.2.2010	In progress	VVIII De taken	into consideration in ERC	Administration's 1011 pro-		
		liect.				

Date and time (Code):	S1/2005R				
Location:	-				
Type of occurrence:	Safety Study on Level Crossing Accidents				
Summary: At the request of VR-	Group Ltd, in December 2005 the Accident Investigation Board of				
Finland commenced a safety stud	y on road/railway level crossing accidents and appointed a commis-				
sion therefor. The safety study included seven recent level crossing accidents, the first one of which					
had been subject to investigation before the commencement of the safety study referred to. Moreover					
the commission investiagted othe	r level crossing accidents having occurred in 2003, 2004 and 2005,				
on the basis of data collected by	VR-Group Ltd. The investigation also included fatal level crossing				
accidents in 1991-2004 as based	on investigation documents produced by the Traffic Safety Commis-				
sion of Insurance Companies (VA	LT), statistics from 1991–2004 on level crossing accidents and rail-				

⁴ Date of the annual meeting concerning status of the recommendations.

Liite 1/2 (20)

way and road traffic	c accidents, internati	ional statistics on level crossing accidents and railway and road			
traffic accidents, as well as investigation reports on individual accidents in certain countries and docu-					
mentation pertaining to projects on the development of level crossing safety in some countries.					
Final report issued: 20.06.2007					
Recommendation Nr. S215	As the road vehicle driver's perception error is often the cause of his failing to stop at a level crossing, the perceptibility of both the train and the level crossing should be improved. For example, in the accidents investigated by the commission, the road vehicle driver either failed to perceive the train or only perceived it too late.				
	The perceptibility	of a train and a level crossing should be improved.			
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress	Different kind of alternatives is tested.			
19.2.2010	In progress	Bumps and vibration ribs on road are on tests.			
Recommendation	A great number of	level crossings feature high speed limits, even 80 km/h. This			
Nr. S216	impacts the road v	vehicle driver's impression of a safe level crossing and hence			
	his/her driving beha	aviour at the level crossing.			
	At a level crossi	ng the maximum speed allowed on the road should be			
	50 km/h or lower	as depending on the locality and the characteristics of the			
	level crossing.				
Date	Status	Comments			
20 1 2009	In progress				
19.2.2010	In progress	Will be taken up when making new directions			
10.2.2010	in progrooo	Win be taken up when making new directione.			
Recommendation	At a number of lev	el crossings, the condition of the wait platform fails to meet the			
Nr S217	relevant RAMO ⁵ sr	pecifications. This often results in an unwillingness to stop at the			
NI. 02 //		concelloris. This often results in an unwiningness to stop at the			
	Such wait platfor	ms of level crossings that feature a near condition should			
	be ungraded to m	act the relevant PAMO specifications			
Data	Statue	Commonts			
20 1 2000		Comments			
10.2.2003	In progress	No mutual understanding that who is in charge			
13.2.2010					
Decommondation	The regulations in	Dart 0, DAMO are not applied to old loval areasings. Canoo			
	The regulations in	Part 9, RAINO are not applied to old level clossings. Conse-			
INI. 3210	quentiy it is not que	ule clear what regulations apply to the maintenance of lever			
	Maintananaa instr	uctions should be drawn up for lovel grossings			
Data	Status	Commente			
Date 20.1.2000	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009					
19.2.2010	in progress				
	A				
Recommendation	At the moment it is	s not possible to restrict traffic on level crossings or prohibit the			
Nr. 5219	USE OF IEVEL CLOSSI	ng, e.g. for neavy-duty road venicles, even in case of an ex-			
	tremely dangerous	level crossing. For example, on the rall network there are level			
	ing by a combined	transport vehicle. Nevertheless the use of the crossing connect			
	ing by a combined	transport vehicle. Nevertheless the use of the crossing cannot			
	The relivieu	would the peters with with a bould be allowed to wortist word			
	The railway keepe	er and the safety authority should be allowed to restict road			
Dete	Status	Commonto			
20 1 2000		The Pail Act makes it possible			
20.1.2008		The Dail Act makes it pessible when making track play and			
19.2.2010	in progress	the use is shanged			
		une use is changeu.			
Recommendation	in many countries,	the warning whistle given by a train is a key safety element. In			
Nr. 5220	some countries this	s is even mandatory and in some countries, it is customary to			
	whistle at all level crossings. On the other hand, whistling generates noise put				
	whistle at all level	crossings. On the other hand, whistling generates holse hul-			
	whistle at all level sance. Furthermore	e no Finnish research data exists as for the audibility and con-			
	whistle at all level sance. Furthermore spicuousness of wh	e no Finnish research data exists as for the audibility and con- nistles.			

⁵ RAMO = The Track Technological Rules and Regulations.

Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress	No plan to go over. No evidences of the need.			
19.2.2010	In progress	No evidences of the need.			
Recommendation	If the advance rou	te plan has been drawn up poorly or on an erroneous basis,			
Nr. S221	leads this to unnec	essary and dangerous crossings, especially for heavy vehicles.			
	In their route plan of railways. Rai	ns, transport operators should consider possible crossings ilway crossings should be minimized and more safe			
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress				
19.2.2010	In progress				
Recommendation	As the amount of	building land continuously diminishes especially in big popula-			
Nr. S222	tion centres, new a	areas are planned with only poor transport connections. A road			
	may cross a railwa	way in a place where the crossing was originally designed and			
	built for only one h	nouse or one farming road. The planning of transport connec-			
	tions should be car	efully carried out so as to ensure safe access to the area.			
	In land use plan	ning, special attention should be paid to safe railway			
	crossing, and the	building of new level crossings should be avoided.			
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress				
19.2.2010	In progress	The Ministry of Environment has made a publication where the			
		issue is enclosed.			

	I		• • · • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date and time (Co	de):	21.6.2005, 16.04 (0	C2/2005R)	
Location:		Helsinki railway sta	ation	
Type of occurrenc	:e:	Collision with an ot	ostacle	
Train type and nur	nber:	Passenger train 17	1	
Road vehicle:				
		 I	In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board.	:	Crew:	1+1	
		Passengers:	0	
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0	
		Passengers:	0	
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0	
		Passengers:	0	
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0	
-		Passengers:	0	
Damages of rolling	g stock:	The end- and subs	tructures of the collided coa	ach.
Damages on track	equipment:	Trackbuffer		
Other damages:		None		
Summary: In Helsin	nki on 20 April :	2005 at 16.04, while	e being shunted to its depart	rture track, passenger
train 171 collided wi	ith a rail barrier	, broke it and, havir	ng mounted it, continued for	r a further six metres
towards the end pla	itform.	·	• 	
Final report issued	d: 26.9.2007			
Recommendation	In order to id	lentify the cause c	of the audibility disturbanc	es, and to determine
Nr. S223	whether the t	echnical requireme	nts for escort radios are	sufficient and whether
	some new ter	chnical solutions ar	re required to guarantee a	audibility, the Accident
	Investigation E	3oard recommends	the following:	• ·
	The operation	n of escort radios	at Helsinki Central Rail	way Station must be
	inspected in	order to identify	any black spots in radi	o audibility and any
	external inter	ference.	· .	
Date	Status	Comments		
20.1.2009	In progress	Use of GSM	R-radio will cancel the a	udibility disturbances.
		2010 in use.		-
19.2.2010	In progress	Nothing new.		

Liite 1/4 (20)

Date and time (Co	de):	17.1.	.2007, 10.52 (B1/2007R)		
Location:		Närpiö, Kallmossvägen / Karlå level crossing, unprotected				
Type of occurrence	e:	Leve	evel crossing accident, freight train – van			
Train type and nui	mber:	Freig	ht train 3273,	two Dv12 diesel locomotive	s and 35 wagons	
Road vehicle:		Van	Opel Astra, 20	01 model		
			•	In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board		Crev	v:	1	1	
		Pass	sengers:	0	0	
Fatally injured:		Crev	v:	0	1	
		Pass	sengers:	0	0	
Seriously injured:		Crev	V:	0	0	
		Pass	sengers:	0	0	
Slightly injured:		Crev	V:	0	0	
		Pass	sengers:	0	0	
Damages of rolling	g stock:	The I	locomotive suf	fered minor damage while t	he van was wrecked	
•		beyo	nd repair.	C		
Damages on track	equipment:	None).			
Other damages:		Deliv	erable post wa	as lost and damaged.		
Summary: On Wee	dnesday 17 Ja	nuary	2007 at 10.50	a.m. an accident occurred	d in Närpiö in which a	
train carrying lumber	er on its way fro	om Śe	einäjoki to Kas	kinen collided with a van at	t an unprotected level	
crossing.	-		-			
Final report issue	d: 23.11.200)7				
Recommendation	When driving	on a f	familiar route,	a driver performing a delive	ry task may pay such	
Nr. S224	strong attentio	on to r	matters other t	han driving that his/her atte	ntiveness, and follow-	
	ing the traffic	and h	his or her surro	oundings is disrupted. At su	uch moments, special	
	danger zones	includ	de unguarded	level crossings.	•	
	Itella and ot	her b	pusinesses p	erforming deliveries can	improve safety by	
	avoiding ung	uarde	ed level cross	ings when planning their	delivery routes.	
Date	Status		Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress					
Recommendation	Level crossing	gs and	d other dange	rous locations should also	be taken into consid-	
Nr. S225	eration when	mail is	s sorted route-	specifically.		
	A warning s	sign i	notifying of a	a dangerous location or	n the route, placed	
	between sort	ted mail stacks being delivered, might act as a prompt to the				
	mail carrier v	vhen	hen he/she arrives at the dangerous location on the route.			
Date	Status		Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress					
Recommendation	As the use of	navig	gators is beco	ming more common, they	can be complimented	
Nr. S226	with various p	rograi	ms which will w	varn of dangerous locations	s en route.	
	A navigator/	GPS	device in th	ne vehicle, should be ir	nstalled warning of	
	dangerous lo	ocatio	ns such as le	vel crossings.		
Date	Status		Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress					
19.2.2010	In progress		Level crossing	g databank for navigators c	an be found from the	
			internet.			
Recommendation	Using the safe	ety be	elt in an accide	ent, even when driving at m	oderate speeds, may	
Nr. S227	prevent injury	or de	ath.			
	Compulsory	use	of safety be	ts should be expanded	to include delivery	
-	vehicle drive	rs an	d passengers	, irrespective of the drivin	ig distance.	
Date	Status		Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress		SKAL support	s because of safety reason	S.	

Date and time (Code):	5.3.2007, 14.39 (B2/2007R)
Location:	Nivala, Niskakankaantie / Pahaoja level crossing, unprotected
Type of occurrence:	Level crossing accident, Passenger train – car

Train type and nur	nber:	per: Local train H494, Dm12 rail bus			
Road vehicle:	I	Passenger car Renault Laguna Break 1.6, 2000 model			
			In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board	: (Crew:	2	1	
		Passengers:	25	1	
Fatally injured:	(Crew:	0	1	
		Passengers:	0	1	
Seriously injured:	(Crew:	0	0	
		Passengers:	0	0	
Slightly injured:	(Crew:	0	0	
		Passengers:	0	0	
Damages of rolling	g stock:	Slight damages to t	the rail bus, the car was cor	npletely wrecked.	
Damages on track	equipment:	None.			
Other damages:	1	None.			
Summary: On Mor	nday 5 March 2	007 at 2.39 p.m.,	a level crossing accident t	ook place involving a	
passenger car and	a rail bus travel	lling from Ylivieska	to lisalmi. Both the driver	and the passenger of	
the car perished, w	hile the train per	rsonnel and passer	ngers were unharmed. The	accident wrecked the	
car beyond repair, w	while the train su	uffered only minor	damage. The total material	costs due to the acci-	
dent were approxim	ately EUR 70,0	00.			
Final report issued	d: 23.11.2007	7			
Recommendation	The Pahaoja u	inguarded level cro	ossing is situated on a bus	y private road in Nis-	
Nr. S228	kakangas whic	h, in addition to the	e locals, is used by regular	taxi traffic and heavy	
	traffic due to fa	arming and industr	y in the area. For train safe	ety alone, it would be	
	extremely impo	ortant that the level	crossing be equipped with	a warning station with	
	automatic gate	es. This measure	would also increase the like	kelihood that a driver	
	notices an app	roaching train, thar	nks to lowered or lowering g	ates.	
	The Pahaoja	unguarded level	crossing should be ed	uipped with a half	
	barrier equipn	nent.			
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress	RHK is not go	ping to install the level crossing with barriers.		
Recommendation	The lower part	of the fender, atta	ched with screws, was torn	loose in the collision.	
Nr. S230	Had it been cau	ught underneath th	e wheels it might have dera	ailed the train.	
	The structure	of the obstructio	n cleaning device of Dm1	2 rail bus should be	
	such that it is either formed of one piece or possible additional parts ar				
_	attached sufficiently well.				
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress	The construct	ion has been designed.		
19.2.2010	IMPLEMENTE	D Fastened by y	veldina.		

Date and time (Code):	2.2.2007, 9.01 (C1	2.2.2007, 9.01 (C1/2007R)			
Location:	Pelto switch area a	at the Joensuu railway ya	ard		
Type of occurrence:	Accident during sh	unting work			
Train type and number:	Shunting unit, Dr14	4 diesel locomotive and	7 wagons		
Road vehicle:					
		In the train In the road vehicle			
Persons on board:	Crew: 1+3				
	Passengers:	0			
Fatally injured:	Crew:	1			
	Passengers:	0			
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0			
	Passengers:	0			
Slightly injured:	Crew: 0				
	Passengers:	0			
Damages of rolling stock:	None				
Damages on track equipment:	None				
Other damages:	The shunting unit foreman's radio telephone got unuseable broken.				

Liite 1/6 (20)

20.1.2009

In progress

Summary: An accident claiming the life of a shunting unit foreman occurred at the Joensuu railway yard onriday 2 February 2007 at 9.01 a.m. The foreman, employed by VR Cargo Joensuu, perished instantly after being run over by one of the wheels of a freight car. Final report issued: 7.12.2007 **Recommendation** In the instructions for safe rail yard work, it is stated that the footwear used must be suitable for shunting work and special attention must be paid to the footwear Nr. S233 being supportive of the ankles and that the material used in the soles must be of the kind that reduces the risk of slipping. According to test results, the footwear model used at the time of the accident was of average level regarding grip. The grip category of the footwear in the conditions at the time of the accident was "uncertain" and "slippery" in terms of the heel's side slip. The grip of footwear used in rail yard work should be better than average under all weather and working conditions. Date Status **Comments**

Tests have been done and tests are going on.

Date and time (Co	de):	6.5.2007, 15.33 (B4/2007R)			
Location:	-	Kiuruvesi, Pohja level crossing, unprotected			
Type of occurrence	e:	Level crossing accident, passenger train - car			
Train type and nui	mber:	Regional train 746, two Dm12rail busses			
Road vehicle:		Car Nissa	n Almera	4D Sedan, 2005 model	
				In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board		Crew:		2	1
		Passenge	ers:	≈60	1
Fatally injured:		Crew:		0	1
		Passenge	ers:	0	0
Seriously injured:		Crew:		0	0
		Passenge	ers:	0	1
Slightly injured:		Crew:		0	0
		Passenge	ers:	0	0
Damages of rolling	g stock:	The car w	as wreck	ed beyond repair. Equipm	ent of the train's nose
		and subst	ructure w	ere damaged	
Damages on track	equipment:	The wooden covering on the level crossing sustained minor dam-			
		age.			
Other damages:		None			
Summary: A fatal	evel crossing	accident to	ok place	in Kiuruvesi, at the unprote	ected level crossing of
Pohja. This accider	nt occurred wh	ien a car tr	avelling a	along the Pohja private roa	ad drove without stop-
ping under a rail bu	s running from	Ylivieska t	o lisalmi.	There were two passenge	rs in the car; the driver
perished and the fro	ont seat passe	nger was s	eriously in	njured.	
Final report issue	ed: 29.1.2008	3			
Recommendation	Since the Pol	nja level cr	ossing is	dangerous with regard to i	ts conditions and very
Nr. S234	near a safe o	verpass, th	e investig	ation commission recomm	ends:
	The Pohja le	vel crossi	ng shou	Id be closed and a repla	cement overpass be
	created at th	e Hilappar	annantie	bridge.	
Date	Status	Com	nments		
20.1.2009	In progress				
19.2.2010	In progress				
Recommendation	The Pohja lev	el crossing	g is locate	ed very close to a safe ove	rpass, and the cost of
Nr. S235	its removal would be reasonably low. The safe overpass located nearby is			located nearby is not	
	utilised in the current situation.				
	The Finnish Rail Administration should systematically locate and remove leve				ate and remove level
	crossings in	cases whe	re the re	moval and construction of	r a replacement route
	could be perf	ormed at a	minor co	st. Due to this, the investig	ation commission rec-
	ommends:				

	The Finnish Rail Administration should systematically locate crossings that have a bridge nearby or whose traffic can otherwise be directed through a safer route, removing them even though their volume and risk level might be low.					
Date	Status	Comments				
20.1.2009	In progress					
Recommendation Nr. S236	The start of the acc gency alarm call r Ostrobothnia and k gency Response of control areas are t area, the opportun should be enhance Traffic controllers call to the Emer accident site is lo	The start of the accident rescue operation was hindered by the fact that the emer- gency alarm call made by a traffic controller was patched through to the North Ostrobothnia and Kainuu Emergency Response Centre, which was not the Emer- gency Response Centre responsible for the accident site. Since railway traffic control areas are typically part of more than one Emergency Response Centre's area, the opportunities traffic controllers have for making emergency alarm calls should be enhanced. Due to this, the investigation commission recommends: Traffic controllers should have the capacity to place an emergency alarm call to the Emergency Response Centre in the ERC area in which the				
Date	Status	Comments				
20.1.2009	In progress	On process.				
19.2.2010	In progress	Remote controllers have direct numbers to different ERCs.				

Date and time (Co	de):	9.3.2007, 16.13 (B3/2007R)				
Location:		Särkisalmi, Sinkonen level crossing, unprotected				
Type of occurrenc	e:	Level crossing accident, passenger train car				
Train type and nur	mber:	Regional train 746,	Dm12-railcar			
Road vehicle:		Car Marcedes Benz	z 190D, 1985 model			
			In the train	In the road vehicle		
Persons on board	:	Crew:	2	1		
		Passengers:	34	1		
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0	1		
		Passengers:	0	1		
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0	0		
		Passengers:	0	0		
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0	0		
		Passengers:	0	0		
Damages of rolling	g stock:	The railcar's block	age bumper and automati	c coupling of the rail		
		bus were damaged, while the passenger car was severely dam-				
		aged.				
Damages on track	equipment:	None				
Other damages:		None				
Summary: A leve	I crossing acc	ident involving a	passenger car and a rai	bus travelling from		
Savonlinna to Parik	kala took place	in Särkisalmi on 9	March 2007 at 4.13 p.m. T	he driver and passen-		
ger of the passenge	er car were kill	ed but the train per	sonnel and passengers es	caped uninjured. The		
passenger car was	completely wre	cked and the train s	sustained minor damage.			
Final report issue	d: 12.12.200	7				
Recommendation	Drivers cross	a railway through tl	ne Särkisalmi level crossing	g, equipped with half-		
Nr. S237	barriers, as th	ey drive along Mel	konniementie to the Särkis	almi residential area.		
	This route is 2	200 metres longer th	nan the route taken by the	vehicle driver through		
	the Sinkonen	level crossing. In o	rder to prevent this danger	ous shortcut from be-		
	ing used, the A	e Accident Investigation Board recommends:				
	The Sinkone	nen level crossing located in the Särkisalmi residential area				
	should be rer	noved.				
Date	Status	Comments				
20.1.2009	In progress	The speed lim	it area of the track has bee	n lengthened.		
16.2.2010	In progress	Parikkala municipal executive board renews comment that the Sir				
		konen level cro	ssing should be equipped with	warning installations.		

Date and time (Co	de):	21.3.2007, 10.33 (C2/2007R)			
Location:	*	Ylivieska railway station			
Type of occurrenc	e:	Derailment			
Train type and nui	mber:	Freight train 5406,	electric locomotive Sr1 + 2	3 wagons	
Road vehicle:		-			
			In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board	:	Crew:	1		
		Passengers:	0		
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0		
		Passengers:	0		
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0		
		Passengers:	0		
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0		
		Passengers:	0		
Damages of rolling	g stock:	The coupling equip	oment of two wagons and th	ne bogie of the de-	
		railed wagon were	damaged.		
Damages on track	equipment:	The electric-motor	switch drives of two turnou	ts were damaged.	
Other damages:		None.			
Summary: On Wed	dnesday, 21 M	arch 2007, at 10:33	am, one wagon of the frei	ght train en route from	
Oulu to Ylivieska w	as derailed at	the northern turnout	t of the Ylivieska station, a	s the train was switch-	
ing from main track	to side track.				
Final report issued	d: 3.3.2008				
Recommendation	Because insp	ections had not read	cted to the wheel flat or the	broken leaf, the Acci-	
Nr. S238	dent Investiga	ation Board of Finlan	nd recommends:		
	Greater care	should be exercis	sed during statutory freig	train inspections,	
	and any flaw	aws observed should be acted upon more quickly than is currently			
	the case.	ase.			
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress				

Date and time (Code):	31.12.2005, 9.14 (C9/2005R)	
Location:	Tuupovaara railwa	y yard	
Type of occurrence:	Derailment		
Train type and number:	Shuntig unit, Dv 12	2 diesel locomotive and 11	wagons
Road vehicle:			-
		In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board:	Crew:	1+1	
	Passengers:		
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	
	Passengers:	0	
Seriously injured:	Crew:	1	
	Passengers:	0	
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0	
	Passengers:	0	
Damages of rolling stock:	The derailed wagon suffered minor damages.		
Damages on track equipment:	None		
Other damages:	None.		

Summary: On Saturday 31 December 2005 at 9.14 a.m., a shunting accident occurred in the Tuupovaara railway yard, in which a group of empty wagons for carrying wood products, being pushed by an engine, collided with a derailer, causing the derailment of the first wagon in the direction of travel. The shunting foreman, who was standing on the wagon's left end step, was seriously injured after falling between the tracks and being hit by the left end step of the next wagon as he extricated himself from the moving wagons. The step dragged him for several metres before he was able to break free. **Final report issued:** 15.4.2008

Recommendation Because the derailers are widely used in railway yards, it should also be ensured *Nr.* **S239** that they can be operated as safely as possible.

Annex 1/9 (20)

	It should not be without also remo	t be possible to remove the key from a derailer's safety lo removing the derailer from the rail.				
Date	Status	Comments				
20.1.2009	In progress	No instructions exist.				
Recommendation						
Nr. S240	Greater attention should be paid to shunting work safety during the ploughing of snow in rail yards.					
Date	Status	Comments				
20.1.2009	In progress					

Date and time (Co	de):	13.8.2007, 15.1	5 (B5/2007R)		
Location:		Nurmijärvi, Röykkä, Leppälammentie / Korpi level crossing, unpro-			
		tected			
Type of occurrenc	e:	Level crossing	accident, Freight train	– car	
Train type and nur	mber:	Freight train 36	49, 2 Dv12 diesel loco	motives and 41 wagons	
Road vehicle:		Car Ford Sierra	i 2.0, 1990 model		
			In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board	:	Crew:	1	1	
		Passengers:	0	1	
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0	0	
		Passengers:	0	1	
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0	1	
		Passengers:	0	0	
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0	0	
		Passengers:	0	0	
Damages of rolling	g stock:	Damages to the	e equipment of locomo	tive nose, private car entirely	
		wrecked.			
Damages on track	equipment:	None.			
Other damages:		None			
Summary: On Mo	onday 13 Augu	ist 2007 at 3.15	p.m., a level crossing	accident occurred in Röykkä,	
Nurmijärvi, in which	a passenger	car collided with	n a freight train en rou	te from Kirkniemi to Riihimäki,	
resulting in the deat	th of the car's p	bassenger and s	erious injuries to the c	ar driver.	
Final report issued	d: 23.6.2008	3			
Recommendation	Because the	area's growing	population is continuc	ously increasing the volume of	
Nr. S241	traffic at the	Korpi level cros	sing, and because fa	st growing bushes around the	
	crossing do n	ot enable the m	aintenance of visibility	in line with Ministry of Trans-	
	port and Com	mmunications and Finnish Railway Administration requirements, the			
	investigation of	commission recommends the following:			
	The Korpi lev	evel crossing should be equipped with half-barriers.			
Date	Status	Commen	ts		
20.1.2009	In progress	Will be equipped with half barriers, when the financing is ok.			
19.2.2010	In progress	In action an	nd economic plan 2010-2	2013.	
		·			

Date and time (Code):	21.11.2007 (B7/2007R)			
Location:	Lahti, Heikinpellont	tie level crossing, unprotect	ed	
Type of occurrence:	Level crossing acci	ident, freight train – car		
Train type and number:	Freight train 2873,	Dv12 diesel locomotive		
Road vehicle:	Car Volkswagen Golf 1.6, 1999 model			
	In the train In the road vehicle			
Persons on board:	Crew:	2	1	
	Passengers:	0	0	
Fatally injured:	Crew: 0 1			
	Passengers: 0			
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0	0	

	F	assengers:	0	0	
Slightly injured:	(rew:	0	0	
• • •	F	assengers:	0	0	
Damages of rolling	g stock: T	he car was wreck	ed beyond repair. The front	of the locomotive	
-	s	<u>ustained some da</u>	mage.		
Damages on track	equipment: N	one.			
Other damages:	N	one.			
Summary: On 21 (ry: On 21 October 2007 at 12.55 p.m., a fatal level crossing accident occurred on an unp				
tected level crossing	g along Heikinpe	llontie road in La	nti. The accident occurred v	when a car on Heikin-	
pellontie road drove	without stopping	in front of a loco	motive en route from Lahti t	o Heinola. The driver,	
who was the sole p	erson in the car,	died instantly. The	e accident occurred becaus	e the driver of the car	
did not see the train	n. The level cros	sing in question r	neets regulations concernin	ig visibility and cross-	
ing angles, but doe	s not meet thos	e concerning wai	t platforms. It is possible th	at the driver was not	
sufficiently vigilant	due to familiarity	with the crossing	g and the impression that i	train traffic was infre-	
quent there.					
Final report issued	1: 9.9.2008	'	the second standard from the	Label Italianala Ananka	
Recommendation	I rack renovation	n investments na	ave been scheduled for the	E Lanti-Heinola track	
Nr. 5243	Within the next i	ew years. The me		nology renewal, but it	
	IS Clear that the	investments wi	also cover raising level of considering (PATO). Considering	rossing salety to the	
	level set in teo	Inical track requir	track at the moment it is r	ng the danger posed	
	tions to improve	solligs along the	lack at the moment, it is it	scontinence the invest	
	ments proper	Puch actions inclu	alely are initiated in advan	roplacement of level	
	crossings with	alternative road r	Jue line ioliowing. possible	nte wait platform im-	
	provements and	crossing angle a	divetmente	Ints, wait platform in-	
	Actions to im	nrove level cro	esing safety along the	l abti-Heinola, track	
	should be ca	prove level of o	re the initiation of scl	heduled renovation	
	investments.				
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress				
19.2.2010	In progress	In some level	crossings there has been re	educed speed limit on	
		roads.	-		
Recommendation	The speed limit	along the Lahti-	-Heinola track is currently (60 km/h for the most	
Nr. S244	part. However,	sightline on many	v level crossings is limited to	o such an extent that	
	an accident is	ossible even if n	obody makes a mistake or	there is no technical	
	fault in the vehi	les. The track pro	ofile does not allow for a rec	duction in speed limits	
	to the appropriate levels, but it is nevertheless possible to reduce speeds to some				
	degree.				
	The speed limit along the Lahti-Heinola track should be reduced in the				
	proximity of level crossings with poor sightline to the extent that the			extent that this is	
	reasonably possible.				
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress				
19.2.2010	In progress	Is not yet redu	Jced.		
		1			

Date and time (Code):	4.8.2007, 6.24 (C6/2007R)			
Location:	Siilinjärvi, Kemira O	GrowHow Oyj industrial railv	vay yard	
Type of occurrence:	Derailment			
Train type and number:	Shunting unit, 3 Dv	12 diesel locomotives + 6 t	ank wagons	
Road vehicle:				
	In the train In the road vehicle			
Persons on board:	Crew:	1 + 2		
	Passengers:	0		
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0		
	Passengers: 0			
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0		
	Passengers:	0		
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0		

	Pa	ssengers:	0			
Damages of rolling	g stock: Tar	nk isolation and	bogies of the overturned wa	agon damaged. Minor		
-	dar	nages to two otl	ner wagons.			
Damages on track	equipment: Der	ailer and 5 met	er track damaged.			
Other damages:	Noi	ne.				
Summary: At Kemi	ra GrowHow Oyj ra	ailway yard an a	ccident occurred on Saturda	ay 4.8.2007 at 6.24		
am, where a tank w	agon loaded with r	itric acid collide	d with a derailer, causing th	e wagon to derail		
and tip over. The fo	llowing wagon also	derailed. It stay	yed upright. The total cost o	f the accident was		
less than 50 000 eu	ros.					
The reason for the a	accident was that t	he derailer was	not removed before shunti	ng of the wagons and		
that the derailer that	at had been left or	n was not notic	ed in time. The shunting fo	preman gave order to		
shunt without securi	ing the route first.					
Final report issued	<i>l:</i> 28.10.2008					
Recommendation	Right operation of	the derailer sh	ould always be secured so	that misuse could not		
Nr. S247	be possible. Forge	etting a derailer	on should be hindered.			
	A derailer shoul	d always have	interdependence to the	turnout which leads		
	to the track in	ack in question. Especially railway yards where dangerous				
	substances are h	ubstances are handled should always be built according to regulations.				
Date	Status	Comments				
20.1.2009	In progress	No instruction	s exist.			

Date and time (Co	de):	15.7.2007, 18.11 (C5/2007R)		
Location:		Talviainen station			
Type of occurrenc	e:	Derailment			
Train type and nur	nber:	Freight train 3913,	2 Dv12 diesel locomotives	and 35 wagons	
Road vehicle:					
			In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board.		Crew:	1		
		Passengers:	0		
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0		
		Passengers:	0		
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0		
		Passengers:	0		
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0		
		Passengers:	0		
Damages of rolling	g stock:	Some brake clutch	es of the locomotive had to	be replaced and	
		wheels required lat	vheels required lathing.		
Damages on track	equipment:	Track retainers broke off and the wheels of the derailed locomotive			
		left marks on the sleepers.			
Other damages:		The axle counter se	ensor and cable were repla	iced.	
Summary: On Sun	day 15 July 20	07 at 6.11 p.m., one	e of the two locomotives of	a freight train was	
derailed after passir	ng a curved tur	nout in Talviainen s	tation. The derailed locomo	otive incurred some	
damage.					
The derailment occu	urred because	the track was bent of	out of shape and therefore	hindered passage.	
Final report issued	d: 18.11.200	8			
Recommendation	The rail incor	nsistency that was	uncovered during the inv	estigation would have	
Nr. S249	been noticed	earlier if track geon	netry measurements had b	een completed before	
	the rail was ta	aken into use, for e	example during final rail se	curing work. Final rail	
	securing work	k is a good time fo	or this, since today's secu	ring machines enable	
	track geomet	ry measurements.	Measurements could be	a quality requirement.	
	This would er	nsure that any geo	metries that do not meet	regulations would be-	
	come apparer	ent before track commissioning.			
	Demanding	surface construction projects should include rail geometry			
	measuremen	ents before the track is taken into use. The measurements could			
	be compared	d with set limit values.			
Date	Status	Comments			
20.1.2009	In progress	All of the cons	structors do not yet have de	evices.	

Date and time (Co	de):	6.10.2008 (B6/200	7R)	
Location:		Kempele, Sohianantie / Perälä level crossing, unprotected		
Type of occurrence	e:	Level crossing accident. Pendolino train – car		
Train type and nui	mber:	Pendolino S52 Sm3 electric motor train 6 cars		
Road vehicle:		Car Volkswagen Po	olo, 1998 model	
		J	In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board	:	Crew:	3	1
		Passengers:	38	0
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0	1
		Passengers:	0	0
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0	0
		Passengers:	0	0
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0	0
		Passengers:	0	0
Damages of rolling	g stock:	Damages to the loc car entirely wrecke	comotive nose and the equi	pment of nose, the
Damages on track	equipment:	None.		
Other damages:		None.		
Summary: On Satu	urday 6 Octobe	r 2007 at 11.36 a.m	., a car and a Pendolino tra	in en route from Oulu
to Helsinki collided	on the Perälä l	evel crossing in Ken	npele, resulting in the death	of the car driver. The
train staff and passe	engers were no	ot injured.		
The direct cause of	the accident w	as that the car drive	er drived onto the level cros	sing without stopping.
It is likely that the di	river failed to m	nake any observation	n of the train approaching fr	om the left.
Final report issued	d: 29.12.200	8		
Recommendation	Because the s	speed limit at the lev	el crossing is 140 km/h and	d because the track is
Nr. S251	in heavy use,	the Accident Investi	gation Board recommends	the following:
	The Perälä u	nprotected level ci	ossing should be remove	ed or replaced by an
	interchange.			
Date	Status	Comments		
20.1.2009	in progress	ine municipa	lity will remove the level cl	rossing during chanc-
		ing the town p	nan.	
Decembrandetter	Decenter e	r oon hoosma wada	ad under the frent structure	of the train when the
	Because a ca	r can become wedg	ed under the front structure	or the train when the
INF. 5252	structure brea	eaks, the Accident Investigation Board recommends the following:		
	The front str	tructure of the Sm3 electric train should be redesigned to prevent		
Data	Status	Commonto		
20 1 2000	In progress	Comments		
19.2.2003	In progress			
13.2.2010	in progress			

Date and time (Code):	30.4.2008, 7.04 (C	30.4.2008, 7.04 (C3/2008R)			
Location:	Joensuu, Syväsata	ama Port			
Type of occurrence:	Collision with an ob	ostacle			
Train type and number:	Shunting unit, Dr14	locomotive and 12 wagons	6		
Road vehicle:	Forklift truck	Forklift truck			
		In the train	In the road vehicle		
Persons on board:	Crew:	3	1		
	Passengers:	0	0		
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	0		
	Passengers:	0	0		
Seriously injured:	Crew:	1	0		
	Passengers:	0	0		
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0	0		
	Passengers:	0	0		

Damages of rolling	g stock: One	wagon incurred minor damages, the forklift truck was badly	
	dam	naged.	
Damages on track	equipment: Non	e.	
Other damages:	Non	e.	
Summary: On Wed	Inesday 30 April 20	08 at 7.04 a.m., a shunting unit collided with a heavy forklift	
truck on Joensuu's	Syväsatama port tra	ack 183. The shunting foreman was seriously injured. One of	
the freight wagons i	ncurred minor dama	age and the forklift truck was badly damaged.	
The accident occuri	red because the for	klift driver did not observe the approaching shunting unit before	
turning or when turr	ning to cross the tra	ck.	
Final report issued	<i>d:</i> 30.12.2008		
Recommendation	The port track ber	nds strongly to the left after passing the port gate towards the	
Nr. S253	port area. Halfway	into the curve, there are storage containers next to the track on	
	the inside curve. T	hey impede visibility when approaching the port.	
	The storage containers next to the track should be placed further away from		
	the track so that they do not impair visibility.		
Date	Status	Comments	
20.1.2009	In progress		
19.2.2010	IMPLEMENTED	Also cleared.	

Date and time (Co	de): 3.1	1.2007, 12.59 (C7/2007R)		
Location:	Va	Vainikkala yard			
Type of occurrenc	e: De	Derailment			
Train type and nur	mber: Sh	unting unit, Dr14 die	esel locomotive and 15 wago	ns	
Road vehicle:		-			
			In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board	: Cr	ew:	3		
	Pa	ssengers:	0		
Fatally injured:	Cr	ew:	0		
	Pa	ssengers:	0		
Seriously injured:	Cr	ew:	0		
	Pa	ssengers:	0		
Slightly injured:	Cr	ew:	0		
	Pa	ssengers:	0		
Damages of rolling	g stock: No	one.			
Damages on track	requipment: The	ment: The turnout tongue was twisted and the Railex locking device was damaged. Al		device was damaged. Also,	
	ас	oncrete sleeper was	cracked and rail fastening sprin	ngs had to be replaced.	
Other damages:	No	ne.			
Summary: On Satu	urday 3 Novembe	r 2007 at 12.59	p.m., one wheelset of a lo	ocomotive was derailed	
at a railway yard tu	rnout in Vainikkal	a when a shunti	ng unit was pushing wag	ons onto the track. The	
turnout tongue was	twisted and the R	ailex locking dev	ice was damaged.		
The incident occuri	red because the	shunting worker	had pressed the local to	urnout button reversing	
twice while the loco	pmotive was on the	he turnout. The t	first wheelset derailed du	ring the first change in	
position. The shunti	ng worker gave th	ie second comm	and before the first opera	tion was complete, with	
the result that the s	switch blades star	ted turning back	and the locomotive's ren	naining wheelsets were	
directed correctly al	ong the track.				
Final report issued	13 .1.2009				
Recommendation	Turnouts for which	ch local control is	s possible can be operate	ed more safely if the lo-	
Nr. 5254	cal turnout contro	ontrol buttons are located close to the turnouts.			
	Local turnout o	ut control buttons should be located as close to turnouts as			
Data	possible.				
Date	Status	Comments			
19.2.2010	NUT TO BE IM-				
	PLEWENTED				

Date and time (Code):	25.2.2008, 9.53 (B1/2008R)
Location:	Laukaa, Notkotie / Kauramaa level crossing, unprotected
Type of occurrence:	Level crossing accident, freight train – tractor

Liite 1/14 (20)

Train type and number:	Freight train 3359, Dv12 diesel locomotive and 27 wagons		
Road vehicle:	Tractor Case IH 4240, 1997 model		
		In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board:	Crew:	1	1
	Passengers:	0	0
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	1
	Passengers:	0	0
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0	0
	Passengers:	0	0
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0	0
	Passengers:	0	0
Damages of rolling stock:	The front of the locomotive sustained some damage, the tractor was totally		
	wrecked.		
Damages on track equipment:	None.		
Other damages:	None		

Summary: On 25 February 2008 at 9.53 a.m., a fatal level crossing accident occurred on Laukaa's Kauramaa unprotected level crossing. A tractor returning along an agricultural road from ploughing work drove without stopping in front of a freight train en route from Jyväskylä to Suolahti. The only person in the tractor was the driver, who died from his injuries in hospital later that day.

The accident occurred because the driver of the tractor did not observe the approaching train and drove onto the level crossing without stopping. Furthermore, the crossing did not meet level crossing safety requirements on the part of the wait platform and with respect to sightline. Too short a wait platform, in particular, may have caused the driver to focus more than usual on controlling the tractor, to which extra equipment was hitched, as it approached and arrived at the crossing. The driver's visibility may also have been impaired due to the sun shining against him. The agricultural road was intended only for agricultural use and not for through-traffic.

Final report issued: 26.1.2009				
Recommendation	Two alternative routes in the vicinity of the Kauramaa level crossing offer safer			
Nr. S255	access to agricultu	ral fields surrounding the track.		
	The Kauramaa level crossing should be removed.			
Date	Status	Comments		
19.2.2010	In progress	Laukaa community seconds the recommendation.		

Date and time (Code):	25.9.2008, 16.18 (B6/2008R)	
Location:	lisalmi, Suurisuo level crossing, protected, equipped with half barriers		
Type of occurrence:	Level crossing acci	dent, passenger train – car	
Train type and number:	Passenger train IC	78, Sr1 electric locomotive a	and 7 coaches
Road vehicle:	Car Toyota Camry	4D sedan, 1998 model	
		In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board:	Crew:	4	1
	Passengers:	≈180	1
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	1
	Passengers:	0	1
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0	0
	Passengers:	0	0
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0	0
	Passengers:	0	0
Damages of rolling stock:	The front and left side of the locomotive were damaged in the		
	collision. The car was wrecked beyond repair.		
Damages on track equipment:	A column supporting the track's electric cables and its foundations		
	incurred damage.		
Other damages:	None.		

Summary: On 25 September 2008 at 4.18p.m., a level crossing accident leading to two fatalities occurred at the half barrier equipped level crossing of Suurisuo in lisalmi. The accident occurred when a private car driving slowly westward along Parkatintie road collided with a passenger train en route from Kajaani to Helsinki. The two persons in the car died instantly. The car was wrecked beyond repair.

The direct cause of the accident was that the car driver drove onto the level crossing without stopping. The driver applied the brakes only after the car had driven beneath the lowering barrier and was hit by it, with the result that the car stopped on the track. It is likely that the driver did not notice the level crossing warning signs or the lowering barriers. Potentially contributory factors possibly included the sun shining in the driver's face, a worn windshield, the driver's impaired eyesight, hearing and alertness.

Final report issued	d: 15.6.2009		
Recommendation	The visibility of real	d blinking warning signs should be improved at protected level	
Nr. S256	crossings where	bright sunlight from ahead inhibits the visibility of the level	
	crossing warning	signs. This has been tested along the Turku-Toijala track by	
	replacing filament	lamps with LED lamps, and the results indicate that drivers find	
	the visibility of LED) lights good.	
	At the Suurisuo level crossing and similar level crossings, where it has been		
	noted that sunlight hinders visibility, the visibility of barriers and warning		
	signs should be	improved by replacing red blinking filament lamps with	
	blinking or flashi	ng LED lights.	
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010	In progress		

Date and time (Code	e):	26.8.2008, 10.43 (B5/2008R)	
Location:		Suonenjoki, Haapakoski, Konttila level crossing, unprotected		
Type of occurrence	:	Level crossing accident, railway work unit – car		
Train type and num	ber:	Railway work unit 7582, Service rail car Tka8 and 3 wagons		and 3 wagons
Road vehicle:		Car Ford Sierra 4D	2.0, 1986 model	
			In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board:		Crew:	1 + 2	1
		Passengers:	0	0
Fatally injured:		Crew:	0	1
		Passengers:	0	0
Seriously injured:		Crew:	0	0
		Passengers:	0	0
Slightly injured:		Crew:	0	0
		Passengers:	0	0
Damages of rolling	stock:	Slight damages to the service railcar, the car entirely wrecked.		
Damages on track e	equipment:	None.		
Other damages:		None.		
Summary: At 10.43 a.m. on Tuesday 26 August 2008, a railway work unit en route from Pieksämäki to				
Suonenjoki collided v	Suonenjoki collided with a car at an unprotected level crossing in Suonenjoki.			
The accident was fail	The accident was fatal to the driver of the car. The car was damaged beyond repair and the railway			repair and the railway
work unit, which was	a service rail	car, incurred minor	damage.	
The direct cause of t	he accident w	as that the car drive	er drove onto the level cros	sing without stopping.
In all probability, the	driver comple	tely failed to observ	e the railway work unit app	roaching from the left.
The lack of a proper	wait platform	, a sharply rising roa	ad and limited visibility made	e it difficult to observe
the surroundings and	I drive the car	at the same time.		
Final report issued:	Final report issued: 26.6.2009			
Recommendation	The road rise	s too sharply befor	e the level crossing and th	ere is no proper wait
Nr. S257	platform. In addition, visibility is poor and does not meet the regulations in force.			
	The crossing was also not equipped with the unprotected railway level crossing			
N. N	warning sign. A report in the level crossing database notes that the level crossin		hat the level crossing	
	is dangerous. Although the Konttila level crossing is on a private road with little		private road with little	
t	ranic, the trac	ck speed limit is 140	km/n and limited visibility i	makes even a diligent
	crossing gang	lerous.		

	The sightlines of the level crossing should be improved and the wait platforms should be reconditioned to meet regulations and warning sign 171		
	should be installe	ed.	
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010	In progress		
Recommendation	In their statement,	the owners of the rights to the road indicated that they were not	
Nr. S258	aware that they were responsible for the road's maintenance in the vicinity of the		
	level crossing.		
	The Finnish Rail Administration should inform parties in charge of road		
	maintenance about their obligation to build and maintain road segments		
	leading to level crossings as set out in the relevant regulations. The Finnish		
	Rail Administration	on should also appropriately inform of any track changes to	
	be made and any	shortcomings discovered during inspection rounds.	
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010	In progress		

Date and time (Code):	7.7.2008, 22.41 (B	7.7.2008, 22.41 (B4/2008R)		
Location:	Kiuruvesi, Kirkkoharjuntie / Vehkatie level crossing, unprotected			
Type of occurrence:	Level crossing acci	ident, freight train – van		
Train type and number:	Freight train 5040,	two Dv12 diesel locomotive	s	
Road vehicle:	Van Toyota Hiace,	2000 model		
		In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board:	Crew:	1	1	
	Passengers:	0	0	
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	1	
	Passengers:	0	0	
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0	0	
	Passengers:	0	0	
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0	0	
	Passengers:	0	0	
Damages of rolling stock:	The front of the locomotive was slightly damaged and the van was			
	wrecked beyond re	pair.		
Damages on track equipment:	The level crossing's platform structure was damaged.			
Other damages:	None.			

Summary: At 22.41.40 on Monday 7 July 2008, a van and a train consisting of two locomotives en route from Ylivieska to lisalmi collided on the Vehkatie unprotected level crossing in Kiuruvesi, resulting in the death of the van driver. The van was wrecked beyond repair and the locomotive's front and bogie structures were slightly damaged.

The direct cause of the accident was that the van driver drove onto the level crossing without stopping. It is probable that the driver noticed the approaching train too late and was unable to avoid the collision. The evening sun was shining low on the horizon from the direction of the approaching train and this may have made observation difficult. It is possible that the driver's attention was focused on a newly arrived text message or that the driver was looking for the mobile phone. It is also possible that visibility in the direction of the train was blocked by the vehicle's chassis structures, because the vehicle approached the level crossing at an angle to the track.

Final report issued: 26.6.2009			
Recommendation	Because the level crossing is dangerous.		
Nr. S259	The Vehkatie leve	The Vehkatie level crossing should be removed.	
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010	IMPLEMENTED	The level crossing is closed from vehicle traffic and equipped with	
		gates for pedestrians.	
Recommendation	The emergency response centre's ELS system did not produce any data when the		
Nr. S260	search term "Vehkatie" was entered because the old name of the crossing had		
	been saved in the system. When a search was made by track kilometre, the ELS system gave the name "Tiilitehdas" even though the crossing is named "Vehkatie"		
	in the tasoristeys.fi database. In order to ensure that these names are uniform.		

	Emergency response centres regularly update the level crossing location data of their ELS systems to match the tasoristeys.fi database.		
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010	In progress		

Date and time (Code):	8.6.2008, 5.48 (C5	8.6.2008, 5.48 (C5/2008R)		
Location:	Jyväskylä railway y	Jyväskylä railway yard		
Type of occurrence:	Collision of the locomo	tive and the tamping machine at	the railway yard	
Train type and number:	3 x Dv12 locomotiv	e - turnout tamping machin	ne Ttk2-857	
Road vehicle:				
		In the train	In the road vehicle	
Persons on board:	Crew:	1 - 4		
	Passengers:	0		
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0		
	Passengers:	0		
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0		
	Passengers:	0		
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0 - 1		
	Passengers:	0		
Damages of rolling stock:	The tamping machine was damaged in the chassis, body, bogies, wheelsets and automated controls. The foremost locomotive's maintenance deck and hand rails were damaged on the right side.			
Damages on track equipment:	About 20 metres of track was damaged.			
Other damages:	None.			
Summary: On 8 June 2008 at 5.48 a.m., a turnout tamping machine was involved in an accident at the Jyväskylä railway yard, leading to the slight injury of a track foreman in the driver's cab of the tamping				

Jyväskylä railway yard, leading to the slight injury of a track foreman in the driver's cab of the tamping machine. The accident involved the collision of a unit consisting of three Dv12 locomotives with a tamping machine involved in work. The engine driver applied the emergency brakes, but the locomotives were unable to stop in time and the front corner of the foremost locomotive collided with the left corner of the tamping machine. The force of the collision caused the right rail to collapse underneath the tamping machine. The collision damaged the tamping machine in places including the chassis, body, automated controls, bogie and wheelsets. The foremost locomotive incurred damage on the right side of the maintenance deck and hand rails. About 20 metres of track were damaged.

The direct cause of the accident was that the front of the tamping machine, which was at work on turnout V032, extended so close to turnout V024 that the locomotives were unable to safely pass the tamping machine. Since turnout V032 was reserved because it was being replaced, turnout V024 was also reserved. In order to control and reverse turnout V024, the traffic controller had to use the VHP⁶ command. The traffic controller was unaware of the precise location of the tamping machine. Another factor contributing to the accident lay in the fact that the tamping machine's foreman and the traffic controller had not agreed on the precise limits of the work area.

Final report issued	1: 0.8.2009		
Recommendation Nr. S261	The practice of vaguely prepared rail work notifications contributed to the accident. During the investigation, a review of recorded conversations indicated that the rail work notification only vaguely defined the limits of the work area in question for both the foreman of the tamping machine and the traffic controller. Rail work notifications should precisely define the outermost limits of work areas.		
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010	In progress		
Recommendation Nr. S262	Just before the unit consisting locomotives and tamping machine collided, the traffic controller prepared a route for the locomotives through a turnout adjacent to the work area reserved for the tamping machine, using the VHP command.		
	Before executing the VHP command, the traffic controller should ensure that		
	there are no other units at or within the vinicity of the turnout for which the		
	command is given.		
Date	Status	Comments	

⁶ VHP = emergence release of point locking.

19.2.2010	In progress	

Date and time (Code):	25.6.2008, 16.23 (B3/2008R)	
Location:	Liperi, Viinijärvi, Huikuri	agricultural road / Huikuri level c	rossing, unprotected
Type of occurrence:	Level crossing acci	dent, passenger train – sco	oter
Train type and number:	Regional train 784,	Dm12 rail bus	
Road vehicle:	Scooter: Baotian B	T49QT-7-TCAP7/49, 2006	model
		In the train	In the road vehicle
Persons on board:	Crew:	2	1
	Passengers:	≈20	0
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	1
	Passengers:	0	0
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0	0
	Passengers:	0	0
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0	0
	Passengers:	0	0
Damages of rolling stock:	The rail bus was slightly	y damaged; the scooter was wrec	ked beyond repair.
Damages on track equipment:	The ploughing sign	was bent	
Other damages:	None.		

Summary: On Wednesday, 25 June 2008, at 4:22.50 pm, a level crossing accident involving a scooter and a rail bus en route from Joensuu to Pieksämäki occurred at the Huikuri level crossing. The accident was fatal to the driver of the scooter. The personnel and passengers of the rail bus remained uninjured. The scooter was wrecked beyond repair. The rail bus incurred damage to its left front corner and the obstruction clearing device. The repair costs of the rail bus amounted to EUR 1,400.

The direct cause of the accident was that the driver of the scooter drove onto the level crossing without stopping. The driver of the scooter probably did not notice the rail bus at all or saw it too late. Contributing to this were the following factors:

- the level crossing was very close to a highway with substantial traffic
- the driver of the scooter was focusing on maintaining balance as the road surface changed from tarmac to gravel
- the level crossing was not equipped with an active warning installation
- the rail bus was approaching the crossing at 120 km/h
- rail buses are silent and quite neutral coloured, which makes them difficult to see.

Final report issued	d:	7.9.2009	
Recommendation	The	ere are several	level crossings in the vicinity of the Huikuri unprotected level
Nr. S263	cro	ssing through w	hich traffic can be directed.
	The	The Huikuri unprotected level crossing should be removed.	
Date	Sta	tus	Comments
19.2.2010	In p	orogress	

Date and time (Code):	13.6.2008, 13.50 (B2/2008R)	
Location:	Helsinki, Mäkelänk	atu 45, Mäkelänrinne stop,	no. 0269
Type of occurrence:	Collision, rear collis	sion	
Rolling stock type and num-	Articulated tram typ	be I, no. 70, line 1, shift 3 – a	articulated tram type
ber:	I, no. 42, line 7B, sl	hift 71	
Road vehicle:			
		In the tram	In the road vehicle
Persons on board:	Crew:	1 + 1	
	Passengers:	18 + 31	
Fatally injured:	Crew:	0	
	Passengers:	0	
Seriously injured:	Crew:	0 + 0	
	Passengers:	2 + 0	
Slightly injured:	Crew:	0 + 1	
	Passengers:	11 + 11	

Damages of rolling stock:		he front of the tram colliding with the other was somewhat dam-	
Damagas on track aquinment		ged, and the rear of the other tram was seriously damaged.	
Damages on track	equipment: N	one.	
Other damages:	N	one.	
Summary:. On Frid	day, 13 June 200 e stop, op Mäkel	8, at 1:50pm, a line-1 tram collided with the rear of a line-7B tram	
driver and 22 passe	e stop, on Maker	tly injured. Several others received lesser injuries such as bruises	
and neck and should	lder pain and he	adaches caused by whip flash. The rails were not damaged and	
the trams remained	on the rails. The	rear of the line-7B tram was substantially damaged. For example,	
the chassis of the	rearmost car wa	s bent out of shape. The front of the line-1 tram was somewhat	
damaged, but after	minor repairs it w	as temporarily operative.	
The cause of the ac	cident was that t	he driver of the tram approaching from behind was not able to stop	
the tram in time. Th	e driver apparen	tly tried to stop the tram via incorrect braking methods in the belief	
that the brakes wer	e not working pro	operly. The background factors were the driver's inexperience, the	
possibility that the o	driver anticipated	that the tram ahead would leave the stop earlier, and the driver's	
suspicion that the	brakes were not	working properly and therefore the use of the incorrect braking	
method.			
Final report issued	d: 4.11.2009		
Recommendation	The investigation	n revealed that tram drivers use different braking methods when	
Nr. 5264	the electric brai	tes seem to be inadequate. In order to ensure that drivers know	
	now to brake co	rrectly, especially in emergencies.	
Dete	Fram drivers s	Tould be taught to brake in the proper way.	
10.2.2010		Comments	
19.2.2010	INPLEMENTEL	Final process of the second se	
		documented	
Pacammandation	Tram driver tra	ning includes learning materials from soveral different teachers	
Nr S265	and is not organ	ised well enough. The learning materials also overlap in part	
NI. 3205	Tram drivers s	should be provided with a personalised and logically progress.	
	ing training pro	dramme	
Date	Status	Comments	
19.2.2010		••••••••	
	In progress	Will be ready in 2010.	
	In progress	Will be ready in 2010.	
Recommendation	In progress The driving skill:	Will be ready in 2010.	
Recommendation Nr. S266	The driving skills period, but this i	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing.	
Recommendation Nr. S266	The driving skills period, but this i The training pr	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date	The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010	The driving skille period, but this i The training pr Status In progress	Will be ready in 2010. So of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. Ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010	In progress The driving skill: period, but this i The training pr <i>Status</i> In progress	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch hatches do not o	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267	In progress The driving skill period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch hatches do not o It should be e	Will be ready in 2010. a of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi-	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr <i>Status</i> In progress The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be e tions.	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi-	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be e tions. Status	Will be ready in 2010. as of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010	In progress The driving skills period, but this in The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be entions. Status In progress	Will be ready in 2010. So of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning is not documented in writing. Ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments Fastening of hatches in articulation part has been solved, de-	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be e tions. Status In progress	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condisigning of hatches in articulation part has been solved, designing of the fastening of other hatches is not yet ready.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010	In progress The driving skill- period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch hat floor hatch hat ches do not of It should be en tions. Status In progress	Will be ready in 2010. s of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning is not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condisigning of hatches in articulation part has been solved, designing of the fastening of other hatches is not yet ready.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010	In progress The driving skill period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be e tions. Status In progress	Will be ready in 2010. as of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condisigning of the fastening of other hatches is not yet ready. gers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S268	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be e tions. Status In progress Six tram passer the bleeding. Tr	Will be ready in 2010. as of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning is not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments gers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop am drivers have first-aid skills, and some of the passengers had a	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S268	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be end tions. Status In progress Six tram passer the bleeding. Tr health-care edu	Will be ready in 2010. as of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning is not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments Fastening of hatches in articulation part has been solved, designing of the fastening of other hatches is not yet ready. gers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop am drivers have first-aid skills, and some of the passengers had a cation, but neither tram was equipped with a first-aid kit.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S268	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be end tions. Status In progress Six tram passer the bleeding. Tr health-care edu All trams shou	Will be ready in 2010. a of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments gers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop am drivers have first-aid skills, and some of the passengers had a cation, but neither tram was equipped with a first-aid kit. d be equipped with a first-aid kit.	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S268	In progress The driving skill- period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be en- tions. Status In progress Six tram passer the bleeding. Tr health-care edu All trams shou Status	Will be ready in 2010. a of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning is not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments gers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop am drivers have first-aid skills, and some of the passengers had a cation, but neither tram was equipped with a first-aid kit. Comments	
Recommendation Nr. S266 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S267 Date 19.2.2010 Date 19.2.2010 Date 19.2.2010	In progress The driving skills period, but this i The training pr Status In progress The floor hatch hatches do not of It should be e tions. Status In progress Six tram passer the bleeding. Tr health-care edu All trams shou Status In progress	Will be ready in 2010. a of tram driver trainees are reviewed during an on-the-job learning s not documented in writing. ogramme for driving performance should be documented. Comments Will be ready in 2010. that came off at the joints caused severe injury to one passenger. es of articulated trams are not locked. In order to ensure that the come off in collisions and similar accidents. nsured that tram floor hatches remain fastened in all condi- Comments Fastening of hatches in articulation part has been solved, designing of the fastening of other hatches is not yet ready. gers received wounds that would have required bandages to stop am drivers have first-aid skills, and some of the passengers had a cation, but neither tram was equipped with a first-aid kit. d be equipped with a first-aid kit. Comments Will be ready in 2010.	

Date and time (Code):	Safety Study S1/2008R
Location:	Kouvola remote control

Liite 1/20 (20)

Summary:. On 21		lent, traffic control safety deviations	
traffic control cofety	August 2008, the A	Accident Investigation Board decided to start a safety study on	
traffic control safety	deviations observe	d in Kouvola. Finland. The basis for the study was a VR Group	
Ltd letter sent to the	Accident Investiga	tion Board, dated 17 June 2008, in which VR Group expressed	
its concern about th	le possible route au	utomation and safety system malfunctions observed in Kouvola	
Centralised Traffic (Control.		
Initially, the investion	nation commission	was tasked with investigating two safety deviations that had	
been observed befo	ore the initiation of	the study. However a third incident occurred during the early	
stages of the study	and the decision w	as made to include it within the scope of the study	
The first deviation of	occurred on 25 Apri	2008 at Järvelä station on the Lahti–Riihimäki section of line	
During shunting a	route automation	memory function generated an unexpected train route setting	
leading to the turnin	a of the turnouts in	front of the shunting unit's intended route	
The second deviation	on occurred on 23	May 2008 on the Lahti–Rijhimäki section of line between the	
He seed a deviation became on 20 may 2000 on the Eanth Rummark because of the, between the Hakosilta junction and Labti station. A commuter train that had departed from Labti station toward			
Riihimäki was issue	Ribimäki was issued with the number and train route of another commuter train that was awaiting its		
departure time at the	e station		
The third deviation	was observed on	6 September 2008 on the Kerava-Lahti directr line on the	
southern side of the	Hakosilta junction	Two trains were proceeding toward Labti with only one block	
section between the	- Δt the houndar	ry between two interlocking areas on the southern side of the	
junction the number	er of the train trav	elling first was replaced in the traffic control system with the	
number of the latter	train	ening hist was replaced in the traine control system with the	
The investigation r	evealed that the d	leviations involved software in all of the cases. The system	
manufacturers have	also confirmed the	ese observations. In connection with the investigation of these	
cases the investigat	ion commission als	to reviewed the deviation management procedures for handling	
this kind of deviation	ons and the role of	different parties in the information system management. The	
conclusion was that	the deviation mana	amerent parties in the information system management. The	
Einal report issued		gement process was inadequate.	
Pasammandation	In the deviation	management austern aurrently in use information about a	
	deviation does not	management system currently in use mormation about a	
INI. 3209	degumented devia	aiways reach an the relevant parties. It is possible that even	
		itione may not be bandled. Also some deviations has been	
	undocumented devia	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been	
	undocumented. Th	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management	
	undocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa	itions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate.	
	undocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of t safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the	
	undocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed	
Date	undocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed.	
Date 19.2.2010	undocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments	
<i>Date</i> 19.2.2010	undocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments	
Date 19.2.2010	adocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr S270	adocumented devia undocumented. Th has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership, and responsibilities over the	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the vetems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires uction of new automation and information technologies. The	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investid	a strong may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires action of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties	a straight of procedures related to the deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. a responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the hich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires uction of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display.	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties screens. The resp	Intions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. Is responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments Image: Image	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties screens. The resp that the corrective	Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. Is responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments Image: Image	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties screens. The resp that the corrective The experts using	Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. Is responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments In the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires action of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display uonsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and actions are monitored should be placed near the end users.	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties screens. The resp that the corrective The experts using in the specification	Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires uction of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display ponsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and actions are monitored should be placed near the end users.	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	An also take particular	Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires uction of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display ionsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and actions are monitored should be placed near the end users. g traffic control systems on a daily basis should participate of the system administration during the life-cycle of the	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	And the specification in the specification is the specification in the specification in the specification is the specification in the specification in the specification is the specification is the specification in the specification is the s	Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of a safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires uction of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display ionsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and actions are monitored should be placed near the end users. g traffic control systems on a daily basis should participate of the system administration during the life-cycle of the	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties screens. The resp that the corrective The experts using in the specification and also take parts system.	tions may not be handled. Also, some deviations has been e informing of procedures related to the deviation management ate. s responsible for the ownership, use, and maintenance of d safety equipment systems should improve and clarify the nich deviations are identified and managed. Comments m the Finnish Rail Administration and VR Group participate in system procurement and management. The organising of work assignment of project ownership and responsibilities over the ystems are unclear. The centralisation of traffic control requires inction of new automation and information technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display uonsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and actions are monitored should be placed near the end users. g traffic control systems on a daily basis should participate ons, inspections, and start-up activities of these systems rt in the system administration during the life-cycle of the	
Date 19.2.2010 Recommendation Nr. S270 Date 19.2.2010	adocumented devia undocumented. The has been inadequa The organisations traffic control and procedures by wh Status In progress Several parties fro the traffic control s packages and the life cycles of the sy continuous introdu deviations investig well as difficulties screens. The resp that the corrective The experts using in the specification and also take parts system. Status In progress	All the system administration and information to the deviation be instructions as the end of the set of the systems are unclear. The centralisation of the technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display prospections, and start-up activities of these systems for the systems for the systems for the systems the technologies. The ated have involved shortcomings in system user instructions as for the users to identify problematic situations on the display onsibility for ensuring that the systems function properly and actions are monitored should be placed near the end users.	